

**Poster Presentation Scoring Rubric**

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Presenter's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Score Key:**

1 = Developing

2 = Competent

3 = Exemplary

Please rate the poster/presenter from 1 to 3 on each of the following categories (circle one):

**1. Statement of Research Problem/Rationale:**

1 2 3

- a) Clearly stated problem with questions or hypotheses being forwarded    b) Well-explained rationale/justification for the study

Comments:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_**2. Literature Review/Background Theory:**

1 2 3

- a) Relevant previous work/literature succinctly reviewed
- 
- b) Gap in knowledge/exploration addressed

- c) References are cited appropriately

Comments:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_**3. Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness):**

1 2 3

- a) Clear description of methods used

- b) Methods are appropriate to address aim/question

Comments:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_**4. Analysis/Results:**

1 2 3

- a) Figures/tables used appropriately and clearly
- 
- c) Analysis is well explained and appropriately applied

- b) Findings are presented clearly and accurately

Comments:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_**5. Conclusion/Discussion:**

1 2 3

- a) Conclusions are sufficiently supported by results
- 
- c) Importance/implications of findings is addressed

- b) Results are placed into broader framework

Comments:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_**6. Presentation Overall:**

1 2 3

- a) Presenter summarized study clearly
- 
- c) Presenter answered questions thoughtfully

- b) Effective overall aesthetic/organization of poster
- 
- d) Study is innovative and has potential to contribute to the field

Comments:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Total \_\_\_\_\_

