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Background Research

• Static Stretching
  – Movement of a limb to end of ROM and held for 15-60 seconds
  – Benefits
    • Increases ROM
    • Prevents injuries
    • Decreases muscle soreness
  – Results suggest SS impairs performance (Behm et al)
Background Research

• Dynamic Stretching
  – Controlled movement through AROM
  – Results suggest DS (Behm et al.)
    • Increases performance
    • Neutral Effects
Background Research

• Self-Myofascial Release, “foam rolling”
  – Back and forth movements over a dense foam roller, starting at proximal portion of muscle, working to distal portion of the muscle
  – Benefits
    • Facilitate soft tissue extensibility
    • Increase ROM
    • Potentially promoting optimal skeletal function
  – Acute effects not extensively studied (Macdonald & Healey)
Purpose

• To compare the acute effects of SMR, SS, and DS on isokinetic power outputs
Hypotheses

1. SMR will have no acute effects on isokinetic power outputs compared to baseline measurements.

2. Static stretching will decrease the isokinetic power outputs when compared to baseline measurements.
3. Dynamic stretching will increase the isokinetic power outputs when compared to baseline measurements.
Hypotheses (cont.)

4. Dynamic stretching will be more effective in increasing isokinetic power outputs as compared to self-myofascial release and static stretching.
Participants

• N = 18
  – Recreationally active college-aged males
  – Volunteer students from Manchester University
Instrumentation

• **Static Stretching Protocol** *(Manoel et al.)*
  
  – Dominant leg
  – 1 quadriceps stretch
  – 3 sets x 30 seconds
  – 20 sec rest between sets
Instrumentation

• Dynamic Stretching Protocol (Manoel et al.)
  – 1 quadriceps stretch (butt-kicks)
  – 3 sets x 30 seconds
  – 20 sec rest between sets
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Instrumentation

- **SMR Protocol** (MacDonald et al.)
  - Dominant leg
  - Roll over quad for 1 min
  - Rest 30 seconds
  - Roll over quad for another minute
  - Total of 3-4 x per minute
Instrumentation

- Isokinetic Power Outputs
  - Cybex 340 isokinetic dynamometer
  - HUMAC 2009 Software
  - Measure peak torque and mean power for extension of dominant leg
- Velocities
  - $60 \cdot s^{-1}$
  - $240 \cdot s^{-1}$
Procedures

• IRB Approval
• Informed Consent
• 3 days of testing
• Separated by 48 hours
• Day 1
  – Familiarization
• Day 1-3
  – 5-min warm-up at 50W on cycle ergometer
  – Pre-stretching IK test
  – Stretching Protocol (SS, DS, or SMR)*
  – Post-stretching IK test

*SS,DS,SMR; SS,SMR,DS; DS,SS,SMR; DS,SMR,SS; SMR,SS,DS; SMR,DS,SS
Data Analysis

- Password protected computer
- PASW software
- Repeated measures ANOVA
  - Difference in means
  - Percent change = (pre-post/pre)*100
- Paired Sample T-tests
- Significance level at <0.05
Results

1. Self-myofascial release demonstrated **no significant acute effects** on mean power and peak torque at both
   - $60^\circ s^{-1}$ ($p=0.296, 0.817$)
   - $240^\circ s^{-1}$ ($p=0.288, 0.538$)
Results (cont.)

2. Static stretching demonstrated **no significant acute effects** in isokinetic power outputs (MP, PT) at both
   
   - $60^\circ s^{-1}$ \((p=0.099, 0.085)\)
   - $240^\circ s^{-1}$ \((p=0.524, 0.593)\)
3. Dynamic Stretching demonstrated no significant acute effects on the isokinetic power outputs (MP, PT) produced at both
   - 60°s⁻¹ (p=0.121, 0.244)
   - 240°s⁻¹ (p=0.819, 0.949)
Results (cont.)

4. The findings of the present study demonstrated that isokinetic power outputs, mean power and peak torque (MP, PT), were not significantly different at the p<0.05 level when comparing the individual stretching protocols at both
   – 60°s⁻¹ (p=0.633, 0.454)
   – 240°s⁻¹ (p=0.946, 0.676).
## Mean power values (W) ± SEM for 60° s⁻¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static Stretching</td>
<td>120.9 ± 32.3</td>
<td>124.9 ± 34.7</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Stretching</td>
<td>118.8 ± 35.1</td>
<td>125.7 ± 36.7</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Myofascial Release</td>
<td>121.8 ± 35.4</td>
<td>125.2 ± 38.9</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Mean power values (W) ± SEM for 240°s⁻¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static Stretching</td>
<td>193.4 ± 75.7</td>
<td>188.1 ± 72.3</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Stretching</td>
<td>191.3 ± 79.4</td>
<td>188.5 ± 81.7</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Myofascial Release</td>
<td>192.5 ± 69.1</td>
<td>186.6 ± 73.1</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent Change in MP at $60^\circ\text{s}^{-1}$ and $240^\circ\text{s}^{-1}$
### Peak Torque values (W) ± SEM for 60° s⁻¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static Stretching</td>
<td>139.8 ± 37.7</td>
<td>145.8 ± 42.1</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Stretching</td>
<td>136.9 ± 40.5</td>
<td>142.6 ± 44.0</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Myofascial Release</td>
<td>145.4 ± 44.7</td>
<td>146.3 ± 46.7</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Peak Torque values (W) ± SEM for 240°s⁻¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static Stretching</td>
<td>70.6 ± 27.4</td>
<td>71.8 ± 27.0</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Stretching</td>
<td>72.8 ± 27.1</td>
<td>73.0 ± 29.8</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Myofascial Release</td>
<td>73.8 ± 24.8</td>
<td>72.5 ± 26.9</td>
<td>-2.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent Change in PT at 60°s⁻¹ and 240°s⁻¹
Conclusion

• No individual stretch is more advantageous to perform prior to subsequent performance.
Discussion

• Lack of increase in muscle temperature
• Difference in length of protocol
• Unaccounted for factors
  – Nutrition
  – Activity level outside of study
Future Research

- Combination of stretching techniques
- Duration, intensity, recovery
- Age of subjects
- Different measure of power
Questions?

